IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA SOUTHWESTERN DIVISION

Gary Emineth,)
PLAINTIFF,) Judge
v.) Civil No. 1:12-CV-139
Alvin Jaeger, Secretary of State of)
North Dakota, in his official capacity;)
Wayne Stenehjem, Attorney General of)
North Dakota, in his official capacity;)
Richard J. Riha, Burleigh County)
State's Attorney, in his official capacity)
)
DEFENDANTS.	,)

PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED COMPLAINT

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. This case challenges N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06, which bans "Electioneering on election day" (the "Ban").
- 2. Plaintiff Gary Emineth is a private individual and resident of Lincoln, North Dakota, who wishes to exercise his First Amendment right to speak in support of political candidates on Election Day, November 6, 2012, as incorporated against the states by the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 3. Mr. Emineth wishes to engage in speech on election day by displaying yard signs on his private property, distributing flyers in public places, and

- discussing the election with his family members, friends, associates, and neighbors.
- 4. Mr. Em. neth reasonably fears that, if he engages in this speech, the North Dakota Secretary of State, North Dakota Attorney General, or Burleigh County State's Attorney will—either directly or by enlisting the help of other authorities—enforce the Ban against him. This fear has chilled his constitutionally protected speech.
- 5. Thus, Mr. Emineth seeks a permanent injunction against enforcement of the Ban.
- 6. Additionally, Mr. Emineth seeks a declaration that the Ban is facially unconstitutional under the First Amendment. In the alternative, Mr. Emineth seeks a declaration that the Ban is unconstitutional as applied to him and his desired activities.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction because this action arises under the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 8. This Court also has jurisdiction under the Declaratory Judgment Act. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-02.

- This Court also has jurisdiction under the Civil Rights Act. See 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 10. This Court also has jurisdiction to, in its discretion, award attorney's fees in this action. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b).
- 11. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2).

PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff Gary Emineth is a private individual and resident of Lincoln, North Dakota, who wishes to speak in support of candidates for office this Election Day.
- 13 Defendant Alvin A. Jaeger is the North Dakota Secretary of State, sued in his official capacity as the individual charged with enforcing North Dakota's election laws. N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-01-01.
- 14. Defendant Wayne Stenehjem is the Attorney General of North Dakota, sued in his official capacity as an individual with the authority to prosecute criminal offenses in the State. N.D. CENT. CODE, § 54-12-01.
- 15. Defendant Richard J. Riha is the Burleigh County State's Attorney, sued in his official capacity as an individual who could be enlisted to enforce the Ban. N.D. CENT. CODE, § '1-09-18.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

16. Mr. Emineth is a private individual and resident of Lincoln, North Dakota.

- 17. Mr. Emineth is currently engaged in constitutionally protected speech through nis display of election-related yard signs on his private property. He does not wish to remove those signs in advance of November 6th, as the Ban requires.
- 18. Mr. Emineth also wishes to speak in support of candidates this Election Day by distributing flyers in public places, but the Ban prohibits him from doing so.
- 19. Mr. Emineth frequently discusses the upcoming election with his friends, family members, associates and neighbors. He wishes to continue this behavior on Election Day, but the Ban prohibits him from doing so.

COUNT 1 Declaratory Judgment concerning N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06

- 20. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-19.
- 21. The Ban prohibits "[a]ny person asking, soliciting, or in any manner trying to induce or persuade, any voter on an election day to vote or refrain from voting for any candidate or the candidates or ticket of any political party or organization, or any measure submitted to the people." N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06. Thus, it is a prior restraint on protected speech.
- 22. Under Ark. Educ. Television Comm'n v. Forbes, 523 U.S. 666 (1998);

 Entertainment Software Association v. Hatch, 443 F. Supp. 2d 1065 (D.

- Minn. 2006), and other Supreme Court and Eight Circuit precedent, prior restraints on protected speech are subject to strict scrutiny.
- 23. Under *Pleasant Grove City v. Summum*, 555 U.S. 460 (2009) and other Supreme Court and Eighth Circuit precedent, a statute subject to strict scrutiny must be narrowly tailored to a compelling state interest.
- 24. North Dakota can offer no justification for its political speech Ban—let alone a compelling state interest. Moreover, North Dakota's Ban is not narrowly tailored. Thus, the challenged statute cannot survive the strict scrutiny applicable here, and is unconstitutional on its face.
- 25. Additionally and in the alternative, the Ban is unconstitutional as applied to Mr. Emineth, since it prohibits him from engaging in activities that are specifically protected by the First Amendment and Supreme Court precedent: engaging in political discussions, displaying election-related yard signs on his private property, and distributing election-related flyers in public fora.
- 26. Additionally, in *Mills v. Alabama*, 384 U.S. 214 (1966), the Supreme Court invalidated a state statute making it illegal for a newspaper editor "to do no more than urge people to vote one way or another in a publicly held election" on election day. *Id.* at 220.

27. Since North Dakota's Ban criminalizes the very activity the Supreme Court found to be protected in *Mills*, it must also fail under that precedent.

COUNT 2

- Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions concerning N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06
 - 28. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-27.
 - 29. N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06 is contrary to the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.
 - 30. Enforcement of N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06 violates and would violate the constitutional rights of Plaintiff and other residents of North Dakota.
 - 31. Consequently, Plaintiff asks that this Court issue preliminary and permanent injunctions prohibiting the enforcement of N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- A. A declaration that N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06 is facially unconstitutional as a violation of the First Amendment protection for political speech, as incorporated against the states by the Fourteenth Amendment.
- B. In the alternative, a declaration that N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06 is unconstitutional as applied to Mr. Emineth.

- C. Preliminary and permanent injunctions pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against enforcement of N.D. CENT. CODE § 16.1-10-06, and any such additional injunctive relief as this Court may direct.
- D. Costs and attorneys fees under 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and any other applicable statute or authority, and further relief this court may grant in its discretion.

Dated this 16th day of October, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Allen Dickerson

Allen Dickerson*
Anne Marie Mackin*
Center for Competitive Politics
124 West Street South Suite 201

124 West Street South, Suite 201 Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: 703-894-6800 Facsimile: 703-894-6811

adickerson@campaignfreedom.org amackin@campaignfreedom.org

*Admission to this Court *pro hac vice* pending.

VÓGEL LAW FIRM

Monte L. Rogneby (ND ID #5029)

US Bank Building

200 North 3rd Street, Suite 201

P.O. Box 2097

Bismarck, ND 58502-2097

Telephone: 701-258-7899 Facsimile: 701-258-9705

mrogneby@vogellaw.com

1499585.1

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Emineth, Gary			DEFENDANTS Jaeger, Alvin A., Secretary of State of North Dakota					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Burleigh (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	")		Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X")	in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)			TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In This		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		
				en or Subject of a	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	_ `							
CONTRACT		RTS		RFEITURE/PENALTY	1	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	☐ 365 Personal Injury -		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal		☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product Liability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Med, Malpractice	Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	72 0 74 0 75	LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 861 HIA	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	□ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS 3 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	e	Security Act IMMIGRATION	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 TION Application on application		■ 896 Arbitration ■ 896 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ■ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) I Original Proceeding 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Transferred from another district (specify) 5 Transferred from another district (specify)								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 42 U.S.C. 1983 Brief description of ca			Do not cite jurisdictional sta		iversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD				
10/16/2012	10/16/2012 /s/ Allen Dickerson /s/ Monte L. Rogneby							
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	,	MAG. JUE	O OGE	

ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET

Attorneys for Plaintiff Gary Emineth

Allen Dickerson*
Anne Marie Mackin*
Center for Competitive Politics
124 West Street South, Suite 201
Alexandria, VA 22314
Telephone: 703-894-6800
Facsimile: 703-894-6811
adickerson@campaignfreedom.org
amackin@campaignfreedom.org
*Admission to this Court pro hac vice
pending.

Monte L. Rogneby Vogel Law Firm 200 North 3rd Street, Suite 201 Bismarck, ND 58502 Telephone: 701.258.7899 Facsimile: 701.258.9705

mrogneby@VogelLaw.com