```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                      AUSTIN DIVISION
     RICHARD LOWERY,
 3
          Plaintiff,
                                )Case No. 1:23-cv-129-DAE
     v.
     LILLIAN MILLS, et al.,
 4
          Defendants.
 5
 6
 7
                 ORAL and VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF
 8
 9
                            CARLOS CARVALHO
10
                            February 15, 2024
11
                                Volume 1
12
13
14
          ORAL DEPOSITION OF CARLOS CARVALHO, Volume 1,
15
     produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff,
     and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and
16
17
     numbered cause on February 15, 2024, from 9:35 a.m. to
     3:56 p.m., before Dana Shapiro, CSR, in and for the
18
19
     State of Illinois, reported by machine shorthand, at
20
     1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway, Building B, Austin,
21
     Texas 78746, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
     Procedure and any provisions stated on the record or
2.2
23
     attached hereto.
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. ENDEL KOLDE
4	MS. COURTNEY CORBELLO INSTITUTE FOR FREE SPEECH
5	1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Suite 801
6	Washington, D.C. 20036 202-301-1664
7	dkolde@ifs.org ccorbello@ifs.org
8	-and- MR. MICHAEL LOVINS
9	LOVINS TROSCLAIR PLLC 1301 S. Capital of Texas Highway
10	Building A, Suite 136 Austin, Texas 78746
11	512-535-1649 michael@lovinslaw.com
12	michaeleiovinsiaw.com
13	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
14	MR. JAMES MATTHEW DOW MR. ADAM W. ASTON
15	JACKSON WALKER LLP 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 1100
16	Austin, Texas 78710 512-236-2230
17	mdow@jw.com aaston@jw.com
18	-and- MR. JOSEPH HUGHES
19	UT LEGAL AFFAIRS 2314 Whitis Avenue
20	Austin, Texas 78712 512-475-7716
21	jody.hughes@austin.utexas.edu
22	
23	
24	
25	



```
1
     APPEARANCES (continued):
 2
     FOR THE DEPONENT:
 3
     MR. ANDREI D. POPOVICI
     LAW OFFICE OF ANDREI D. POPOVICI, P.C.
     1450 W. Highway 290 #1200
 4
     Dripping Springs, Texas 78620-9998
     650-530-9989
 5
     ap@apatent.com
 6
 7
     ALSO PRESENT:
 8
     MR. IAN McGAR, the videographer
     MR. RICHARD LOWERY
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



	Carlos Carvaino	Pages 4
1	INDEX	D. 7. CE
2	Appearances	PAGE
3	Examination by MR. KOLDE	5
4	Examination by Mr. Aston	.225
5	Reporter's Certificate	227
6	EXHIBITS	53.65
7	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	No. 25 Liberty Institute concept draft No. 26 emails No. 27 emails	30 66 68
9	No. 28 emails	72
10	No. 29 article No. 30 email	75 217
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1 and that something needs to be done. There is, as I 2 mentioned before, also we are -- we are connected to 3 folks across the country that are concerned about 4 university's behaviors. We are trying to figure out 5 ways to deal with that and help correct that. 6 have been in communications with folks in Florida where 7 a lot of reform have taken place. So I think his 8 leadership on that has been very very important in the 9 State of Texas. 10 In paragraph 6 of your declaration you 11 refer to a phone call with Sheridan Titman. 12 A. Correct. 13 There is some language that's in quotes 14 there. I'm going to read it. "We need to do something about Richard." This is allegedly what Titman said 15 referencing Professor Lowery. "Titman added that the 16 17 McCombs School's Dean, Lillian Mills, and the University of Texas President Jay Hartzell, were upset 18 19 about Lowery's political advocacy, and wanted to know 20 if 'we can quote ask him to tone it down.'" 21 Did I read from your declaration 22 accurately? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Does that comport with your memory of that 0. 25 call with Sheridan Titman?

```
MR. ASTON:
 1
                      Objection, form.
 2
     BY THE WITNESS:
 3
     A. Yes.
 4
     BY MR. KOLDE:
 5
                  Do you recall today whether you initiated
           0.
 6
     that call with Sheridan Titman or whether he initiated
 7
     that call?
                  I don't recall.
 8
           Α.
9
           O. Are you confident that Jay Hartzell was
10
     mentioned in the call?
11
          A. Yes. My recollection is that the statement
12
     was, "Jay and Lil want us to do something about
13
     Richard."
14
     Q. And it's fair to say you don't remember the
15
     exact date of the call, but it was sometime around late
16
     July, early August.
17
           MR. ASTON: Objection, form.
18
     BY THE WITNESS:
19
                 Yes, late July. I was walking outside my
20
     neighborhood, very hot summer day, as I recall that for
     a fact. I know exactly where I was when that
21
22
     conversation took place. My son was in his jiu jitsu
     class. I used to walk for about 45 minutes around that
23
24
     while he was in class.
25
     BY MR. KOLDE:
```

```
1
     center.
 2
                  Did Richard's speech that was the subject
           Ο.
 3
     of this August 12 meeting lead to a loss of donations
 4
     to the Salem Center from what you could tell?
 5
                  No.
           Α.
 6
                  No, it did not?
           0.
 7
                  No, it did not.
           Α.
 8
           0.
                  Did Dean Mills react well to your declining
9
     to take action about Richard's speech?
10
           Α.
                  No.
11
                  What did she say to you?
12
           A. My -- as I state in the declaration, that's
13
     perhaps the place and time where she says that she
14
     disagrees, she thinks he's hurting me, and that I serve
15
     at her pleasure meaning that I -- even though I raise
16
     the money for the center independently, these are
17
     private funds that are inside of the university, and
     therefore under her control to some extent, and she has
18
19
     the ability to appoint me and, therefore, fire me from
20
     the position. It was a very clear statement that, "I'm
21
     not happy with this. You serve at my pleasure."
22
     said, "Okay. I'm not going to do anything about
23
     Richard's speech."
24
                  Let's unpack this a little bit more.
           Q.
25
     You're a tenured professor at UT, correct?
```

1	A. Correct.
2	Q. So in terms of you having tenure, you don't
3	serve at Dean Mills' pleasure?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. But you do serve at her pleasure with
6	respect to your role at the Salem Center?
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. Dean Mills could remove you from your role
9	as executive director of the Salem Center?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Is that how you interpreted her statement?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Prior to this meeting in August, how many
14	meetings had you had with Dean Mills?
15	A. A handful over the years, but nothing
16	let me rephrase that. I mentioned earlier we had a
17	dinner, right, one time.
18	Q. Uh-huh.
19	A. There was a meeting, a zoom meeting with
20	lots of folks on a different matter early on in her
21	tenure as dean during the pandemic. I might have had a
22	very short meeting here or there with her on but
23	it's fair to say throughout her tenure since I think
24	summer of 2020 when she became dean, we didn't spend
25	much time talking about anything Salem Center related.

1	Q. In your dinner with Dean Mills, did she
2	tell you that? Did she remind you that you served at
3	her pleasure?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Any of the other meetings other than the
6	August 12 meeting did this phrase come up of you
7	serving at her pleasure in your role at the Salem
8	Center?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Prior to Dean Mills becoming the dean, did
11	Jay Hartzell ever have any conversations with you where
12	he said, "Carlos, you serve at my pleasure." Actually
13	I'm not sure you were in that role at the Salem Center,
14	but maybe you can tell us.
15	MR. ASTON: Objection, form.
16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	A. I was in that role, and no, he never said
18	that.
19	BY MR. KOLDE:
20	Q. During this time in 2022, did you have
21	regular meetings with Associate Dean Burris?
22	A. I had a couple of meetings after this
23	meeting with him. I have another role that I play at
24	UT. I'm the head of the statistics group in the
25	Information Risk and Operations department, which means



1	that I'm responsible to manage some aspects of teaching
2	assignments, promotions, raises and so on for this
3	faculty. In that capacity, I have had perhaps a few
4	meetings with Ethan as well Dean Burris.
5	Q. In those meetings did he ever use that
6	phrase about you serving at his pleasure or Dean Mills'
7	pleasure for any post?
8	A. No.
9	Q. How did you interpret the phrase?
10	A. Threat.
11	Q. I'm going to come back to this declaration
12	later, so keep it handy. First, I would ask you to
13	fish out the notes that have been marked as Exhibit 20.
14	One thing I wanted to ask you about before
15	we get into Exhibit 20. There's been I'll represent
16	to you there's been some testimony by another witness
17	in this case that you asked for the August 12 meeting
18	that we have been talking about, not Mills and Burris.
19	What's your recollection about that?
20	A. That's not what I remember.
21	Q. Who do you remember asking for the meeting
22	on August 12?
23	A. I don't recall, but my guess would be Ethan
24	or the Dean Mills's assistant probably reaching out.
25	Typically that's how things go. And here's "Would

- you be available to meet with Dean Mills at such and such date," for example. Now let me rephrase and say this. Is it possible that some exchange of emails have suggested things like it would be good for us to catch up at some point. Sure, that's possible.
- Q. You weren't reaching out to Burris or Mills saying something like, "Oh, I have this colleague who is too difficult to handle. I need your advice about how to handle him"?
 - A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Did you feel they were giving you friendly advice in the August 12 meeting trying to help you?
 - A. I can see how they see that way at first in the conversation, but I didn't interpret that way.
 - Q. Explain why you say that.
- 16 A. Well, I think they tried to portray Richard
- 17 might be in trouble, he might cross the line as a
- 18 statement of concern about Richard. I didn't take it
- 19 that way, but I can see how they might interpret it
- 20 that way. I can see when they talk about Richard
- 21 hurting my ability to do my job can be expressing that
- 22 way with good intention of, "Hey, I'm trying to help
- 23 you out. Your job can be improved by this problem
- 24 | being solved." I did not take it that way. I didn't
- 25 | feel that meeting was a meeting where I had two



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1	supportive deans trying to improve my performance or
2	improve my center's ability do its job.
3	Q. What did you think they were trying to get
4	you to do?
5	A. It was clear from the statement at the end
6	they were trying to resolve this Richard Lowery
7	situation. They were trying to somehow do something
8	about his speech or that I would do something about his
9	speech, whether to advise him as a friend that he might
10	be in trouble. Whether advise him as a colleague that
11	it would be good for me as director of center for him
12	to stop or advise him as a colleague, "Listen, stop or
13	else we might lose this thing because I serve at her
14	pleasure."
14 15	pleasure." Just to be very clear about my feeling
15	Just to be very clear about my feeling
15 16	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well,
15 16 17	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well, had follow-up meeting maybe couple weeks later with
15 16 17 18	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well, had follow-up meeting maybe couple weeks later with Burris about some other topics. He starts the meeting
15 16 17 18	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well, had follow-up meeting maybe couple weeks later with Burris about some other topics. He starts the meeting asking me, "What do you think about that meeting?" I
15 16 17 18 19 20	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well, had follow-up meeting maybe couple weeks later with Burris about some other topics. He starts the meeting asking me, "What do you think about that meeting?" I said, "I didn't like it. I felt threatened." He said,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well, had follow-up meeting maybe couple weeks later with Burris about some other topics. He starts the meeting asking me, "What do you think about that meeting?" I said, "I didn't like it. I felt threatened." He said, "Well, I don't interpret that way." I think there is
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Just to be very clear about my feeling about that meeting. I state in my declaration as well, had follow-up meeting maybe couple weeks later with Burris about some other topics. He starts the meeting asking me, "What do you think about that meeting?" I said, "I didn't like it. I felt threatened." He said, "Well, I don't interpret that way." I think there is different interpretation how he thinks that meeting

1 All right. Let's look at section 3, that Q. 2 first bullet. I will just read from it. We will talk 3 about it. "Mills stressed that McCombs is part of 4 UT-Austin and Salem Center is part of McCombs. 5 Specifically, the Salem Center B/S is part of McCombs 6 accounts and any directors serve at the pleasure of the 7 dean." 8 Did I read that correctly? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. So here there is a reference to what you 11 talked about too, about her telling you that you serve 12 at her pleasure; is that correct? 13 Α. Correct. Is Lowery considered a director of the 14 15 Salem Center, deputy director or something like that? 16 He has a director, I think, title 17 officially associated with the center, the policy research lab of research or something. I don't know 18 19 exactly the way. Again, there is lots of different 20 systems that makes things complicated there, but yes. 21 He has a title beyond just being a faculty 22 member? 23 He's a senior scholar. If you look at the 24 website he's senior scholar at the Salem Center, but 25 the way it works internally, in order for payments to

1 be made and so on, there is a need for designation that 2 follows some sort of UT code, and in that I think he's 3 listed as a director. 4 Q. Can this statement be about directors 5 serving at the pleasure of the dean can it be 6 interpreted reasonably as applying to Lowery as well as 7 you? Objection, form. 8 MR. ASTON: BY THE WITNESS: 9 10 A. Yes. She has -- every director position 11 inside the center has to be approved by the Dean's 12 Office. 13 BY MR. KOLDE: 14 Did you have any -- first of all, do you 15 recall Mills saying this statement of McCombs is part 16 of UT-Austin, and Salem Center is part of McCombs? 17 I recall that in the sense of not Α. 18 necessarily specifically written this particular way, 19 but I recall her -- there is a reference to before, "I 20 would like you to work within the vision of McCombs." 21 And I was a bit, you know, he sitant in agreement with 2.2 that. We have our own vision. We have our own job to 23 do, that's how we are created and what we are. We're a 24 little bit independent, a little bit sort of -- I 25 reminded her that I think you want us to be a little

1 inaccurate or disruptive to operations, correct? 2 No. I might have said, like I said earlier Α. 3 today, that he uses language that I wouldn't use. 4 But I'm just saying these are Q. Right. 5 Mills' words, the factually inaccurate? 6 Α. Correct. 7 The first part of the sentence is accurate, 0. 8 right? You did tell them that you wouldn't be able to 9 persuade him to stop, correct? 10 Α. Correct. They left out the part about First 11 0. 12 Amendment lawyer though, right? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Then it goes on in the notes to talk about "He," he being you, "revealed that Finance Department 15 chair Sheridan Titman said, 'Jay and Lil want Richard' 16 17 to shut up.' Ethan and Mills corrected the position of Lil and Jay that this is not a position of either of 18 19 them or UT." 20 Is that what happened? 21 So I definitely mentioned the conversation 22 with Sheridan Titman. Probably stated it a little 23 different -- because it was not -- I don't know if I 24 said to them paraphrasing they want Richard to shut up. 25 I have to use that language. Probably more accurately

1	would be want to say Jay and Lil wanted to do something
2	about Richard. I don't recall them correcting their
3	position, but clearly Jay has a position on the matter,
4	which is, I think they acknowledge it here. So I don't
5	recall that part. I do recall what she says after here
6	that clarified we expect functional relationships and
7	basically civility this notion that somehow he was
8	being uncivil and that's bad. They expect civility.
9	They expect a different kind of behavior.
10	Q. Did that terminology civility come up at
11	the meeting?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. In the next sentence after that it says,
14	"Carvalho recommended that any attempt to talk with
15	Lowery would have a higher chance of success coming
16	from Burris, with whom Lowery has no baggage as yet,
17	not Mills or Hartzell."
18	Is that what the notes say?
19	A. Yup.
20	Q. Does that comport with your recollection of
21	what was discussed at the August 12 meeting?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did you agree that Mills would be not
24	likely to be very successful in persuading Lowery?
25	A. I think Lowery wouldn't meet with her even

1 could have come up earlier in the conversation even 2 when talking about Civitas and so on. There is 3 something very important left out of this paragraph 4 When I raised the possibility of like, "What do here. 5 you want me to do? What do you suggest?" In talking 6 through this it's like, "What do you think? What do you expect happens if I go talk to him?" I think in 7 the context of who should talk to him, I asked, "What 8 9 kind of leverage do you think I have, Ethan?" They mentioned at that point, "Well, doesn't he work for the 10 11 Salem Center as a scholar?" "Yes." "And in fact he 12 serves at my pleasure at the Salem Center." I think 13 there was an implication that I had something over him 14 that could be used to help work on his speech. 15 Ο. Did you understand that to mean that they 16 wanted you to threaten Lowery's office with the Salem 17 Center to get him to toe the line? A. I think they were asking me to use whatever 18 19 leverage I had to work with him and solve this problem. 20 They wanted this problem to be solved, and they are 21 trying to find a way to do it. I could be one way, but 22 that's the one that I said I won't do it. 23 Q. How did they react to you saying you 24 weren't going to do it? 25 That that's where -- when it was clear

1 that, "We are not happy with this. You serve at my 2 pleasure." I can't recall the serve at my pleasure in 3 this instance or it's clear from before. "But serve at 4 my pleasure" came as a response to my lack of 5 cooperation in terms of, "I'm not going to work on 6 Lowery's speech." 7 We look at entry No. 4 college 0. 8 It states here in the notes in Exhibit communications. 9 20, "Mills relayed her expectations for professionalism 10 and reasonable respect for chain of command regarding 11 college communications." 12 Does that entry comport with your 13 recollection of what was discussed in the meeting? 14 I recall her saying that she has Α. 15 expectations of professionalism and people 16 interactions. Collegiality I think is another word 17 that might have been used. I recall the use of the term chain of command, which as I mentioned before I 18 19 think is very interesting when talking about faculty, 20 chain of command, band of individuals. So it's unusual 21 this statement that she made so I remember that. 2.2 0. Had you heard that term chain of command be 23 used before to describe faculty within the McCombs 24 School? 25 Never in my academic career I have heard Α.

```
1
     you go by the numbering.
 2
                  Give me second. I don't have 19.
                                                      Sorry.
 3
     It's right here. Go ahead.
                                  Paragraph 9?
 4
                  Paragraph 9.
           Q.
 5
           Α.
                  Uh-huh.
 6
                  That's your discussion of the follow-up
           0.
 7
     meeting you say late August. You see from the notes it
 8
     was August 26. Does the date sound correct?
 9
           Α.
                  Yes.
10
                  Your description in your declaration is
           0.
11
     basically consistent with Burris' notes; is that
12
     correct?
13
           Α.
                  That's correct.
14
                  You reference Burris stressed the
           0.
15
     importance of civility, correct?
16
           Α.
                  Correct.
17
                  Did Burris remind you that he's the one who
     must approve Lowery's compensation and ultimately
18
19
     oversees the Salem Center?
20
                  Correct.
           Α.
21
                  Did he indicate to you -- did Burris tell
22
     you he had just renewed Lowery's annual appointment,
23
     but he might not do so in the future?
24
           Α.
                  Correct.
25
                  How did you understand that statement?
```



A. I think it was a clear indication that, 1 "I'm not doing anything right now, but watch out," that 2 3 was my understanding of it. Yeah. 4 This information that you learned from the Q. 5 August 12 meeting and the August 26 meeting, did you 6 convey it --7 Let me rephrase something here. When I 8 said yes, he told me just renewed Lowery's annual 9 appointment with the center. And the tone of the conversation was that therefore this might not happen 10 11 again, but it wasn't a direct threat. It was not. It 12 was implicit to some extent. It's a nice looking restaurant you got 13 14 here, it would be a shame if anything happened to it? 15 One way to put it, yes. 16 The substance of what was discussed in the 17 August 12 and the August 26 meetings with the deans, did you convey any of that information to Richard 18 19 Lowery? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Why did you do that? Q. 22 Α. He was being threatened. That's my view. 23 That's how I interpret it. And it was important for 24 him to know what was happening. 25 Let's take a five-minute break. I have a Q.

1 dated on or about August 22, the Romanov tweet. What's 2 your understanding of what that's about? What's the 3 point that Richard is trying to make there? MR. ASTON: Objection, form. 4 5 BY THE WITNESS: 6 The point he's making is that we are Α. 7 attacked often times for being too right leaning or not 8 to have -- we are unbalanced in our speech, and yet 9 this group is having an event where the ESG under attack event was basically saying something to the 10 extent of, "How come anybody can be opposed to ESG?" 11 12 These three letters are amazing." 13 So he's pointing out the hypocrisy of 14 criticisms that we receive at the Salem Center as being left of center -- right of center and perhaps not have 15 16 quote balance as defined by the university. Whereas 17 groups that are left of center have no demand of balance of any shape or form. He points out that, by 18 19 the way, we actually have hosted a number of debates 20 where both sides are very clearly representing 21 including a debate that was a debate between a person 22 advocating capitalism and a person advocating 23 socialism. The person advocating socialism is the 24 editor of this magazine called Jacobin Magazine. 25 Jacobin is in reference to the French Revolution Party.

1	In the magazine, my understanding, that they have
2	published articles that will say that Romanov, the
3	murder of the Romanov family were justified for to
4	bring about a socialist revolution. So his point here
5	again with language that's strong, right, saying that
б	we do invite communists to speak on our campus.
7	Communists that will shield for the Romanov murder.
8	Q. Do you read that tweet as in any way
9	calling GSLI communists?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Did you read that tweet in any way as
12	calling GLSI an organization that supports the murder
13	of children?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Let's go back to this April 11 tweet that's
16	on the second page of Exhibit 13. What's your
17	understanding of the point that Richard is making with
18	that April 11 tweet?
19	A. Once again, he's pointing out this
20	hypocrisy of complaints about the fact that we hosted
21	Scott Atlas, somebody that worked for the Trump
22	administration during the pandemic, or that Richard
23	complained about CRT. And the complaints in those
24	the complaints directed at us is because, "Well, that's
25	nolitical That's not academic " Therefore like Lil

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                      AUSTIN DIVISION
     RICHARD LOWERY,
 3
          Plaintiff,
                                )Case No. 1:23-cv-129-DAE
     v.
 4
     LILLIAN MILLS, et al.,
          Defendants.
 5
 6
                     REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
 7
                        ORAL DEPOSITION OF
                         CARLOS CARVALHO
 8
                        February 15, 2024
 9
          I, Dana Shapiro, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,
10
     hereby certify to the following:
11
          That the witness, CARLOS CARVALHO, was duly sworn
12
     by the officer and that the transcript of the oral
13
     deposition is a true record of the testimony given by
14
     the witness;
15
          I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
16
     30(e)(1) that the signature of the deponent:
17
     was requested by the deponent or a party before the
18
     completion of the deposition and that the signature is
19
     to be before any notary public and returned within 30
2.0
     days from date of receipt of the transcript.
21
     returned, the attached Changes and Signature Pages
2.2
     contain any changes and reasons therefore;
23
          I further certify that I am neither counsel for,
24
     related to, nor employed by any of the parties or
25
     attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
```



	Carlos Carvano i ages 220
1	taken, and further that I am not financially or
2	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
3	Certified to by me March 11, 2024.
4	Dana Shapiro
5	DANA SHAPIRO, Illinois CSR 84-3597
6	CSR Expiration: 5/31/25 Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter
7	Registered Agent Solutions, Inc., A Lexitas Company, Firm No. 17
8	5301 Southwest Parkway Corporate Center One, Suite 400
9	Austin, Texas 78735 888-893-3767
10	000 000 3707
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	COUNTY OF TRAVIS)
2	STATE OF TEXAS)
3	I hereby certify that the witness was notified on
4	, that the witness has 30 days
5	after being notified by the officer that the transcript
6	is available for review by the witness and if there are
7	changes in the form or substance to be made, then the
8	witness shall sign a statement reciting such changes
9	and the reasons given by the witness for making them;
LO	That the witness' signature was/was not returned
L1	as of
L2	Subscribed and sworn to on this day of
L3	, 20
L4	Dana Shapiro
L5	
L6	DANA SHAPIRO, Illinois CSR 84-3597 CSR Expiration: 5/31/25
L7	Illinois Certified Shorthand Reporter Registered Agent Solutions, Inc.,
L8	A Lexitas Company, Firm No. 17 5301 Southwest Parkway
L9	Corporate Center One, Suite 400 Austin, Texas 78735
20	888-893-3767
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

